

EXHIBIT 5



Attorney Fee Calculation Error – CIT 6214

Executive Summary

July 2018



Overview

- Modification guidelines are set by the investors, in this case the GSE's and Treasury, Wells Fargo is responsible for strict adherence to the guidelines, any deviation to the guidelines could result in repurchase risk.
- One of the main factors in a modification in calculating the modification options and determining a customer's ability to successfully make monthly payments in the future is housing to income (HTI) ratio, the allowable HTI to qualify for an investor program is clearly stated in the guidelines, it is a exact number, there is no range or ability to deviate. No application modifications require a payment decrease to qualify. (details in appendix)
- Attorney fees that have been incurred due to being in foreclosure, are one of the components that goes into the modification calculation which include certain state maximums allowed, defined by the investor. Investors guidelines typically capitalized the attorney fees.
- This issue impacted customers whose loans were owned by the GSEs and evaluated for any modification and customers whose loans were owned by Wells Fargo and private investors and were evaluated for a HAMP modification. While Wells Fargo and private investors normally waive attorney's fees during a modification process, the US Treasury HAMP program required that attorney's fees be capitalized
- From April 2010 to October 2015 the Home Preservation Application (HPA) tool, among other underwriting processes, was intended to use a state fee matrix to ensure attorney's fees for foreclosure costs did not exceed a certain threshold for each state. However, HPA was incorrectly programmed to add the maximum allowable attorney's fees to the actual attorney's fees already incurred. This resulted in the capitalized amount being inflated by the maximum amount of attorney's fees that were allowed in that state.
- The calculation resulted in the inflated capitalized amount being added to the principal balance. When the revised principal balance, including the inflated capitalized amount, is amortized over the revised term of the loan, the payment is higher than it should have been. This caused the HTI ratio to be overstated, which could have resulted in the customer's modification application being denied, when it should have been approved (example p. 3).

1

Executive Summary Continued

- For the no application modifications requiring a payment change to be eligible, due to the calculation error the payment increased, causing a decline. When adjusting for the actual attorney fee's the payment decreased making the customer eligible. (example p.4)
- No customers were charged fees, nor paid fees, inappropriately. This calculation error only impacted the modification decision. When a customer was approved for a modification, the settlement process (similar to a loan origination closing) recalculated the attorney's fees correctly. .
- Reviewed 194,194 customers who were denied during this time period and found 646 customers who denied or deemed ineligible incorrectly due to the calculation error. (prevalidation) (details on p.5)
- Remediation recommendation is built off a previous remediation in 2016 (FHA-escrow), in which the framework was based on Independent Foreclose Review payout structure. In addition we will offer a mediation option for customers. (details on p.6)

Redacted - BEP - OCC; BEP - FRB; BEP - CFPB

Actual Customer Example which demonstrates how the overstatement of attorney fee's impact outcome of decision

Example:

State – NY

State Fee Matrix Amount = \$6,021

Hamp Tier 2 Program- Post Mod HTI 42% or lower

Recoverable Fees in system of record at time of decision = \$3,648.33

Amounts Capitalized in Decision	Amount
Delinquent Interest	\$33,561.99
Delinquent Escrow	\$18,967.28
Attorney Fee's (recoverable expenses)	\$9,669.33
Total amount to be capitalized	\$62,198.60

= \$3,648.33
(actual)+ \$6,021
(state max)

Information on modification	Decision with incorrect Attorney Fees	Decision using actual attorney fees	Difference
Pre-Mod Unpaid Principal Balance	\$289,484.19	\$289,484.19	
Capitalized Amount:	① \$62,198.60	② \$56,177.60	(\$6,021)
Unpaid Principal Balance (add capitalized amount to UPB)	\$351,682.79	\$345,661.79	
Rate:	4.50%	4.50%	
Term:	480	480	
Principal & Interest	\$1,581.04	\$1,553.97	
Escrow:	\$959.78	\$959.78	
Principal, Interest, Taxes, Insurance	① \$2,540.82	② \$2,513.75	(\$27.07)
Housing to Income Ratio	42.08%	41.63%	-0.45%

1. Decision with the calculation error had \$9,669.33 in recoverable (attorney fees) included in the capitalized amount which was overstated by \$6,021 due to adding state max allowable. This resulted in a Housing to Income (HTI) ratio of 42.08%, the max allowable for HAMP program was 42%, hence denied.
2. When re-calculate using the correct attorney fees of \$3,648.33 (9669.33-\$6,021 state max) the DTI is now 41.63%, within the HAMP guidelines, making this an approved loan.
3. The payment difference between an the denial and approval is \$27.07 with a .45% change in HTI

Actual Customer Example of no application modification

Requires payment to decrease from current to qualify

Example:

State – ID

State Fee Matrix Amount = \$2,847

FNMA No Application Program- Payment Reduction

Recoverable Fees in system of record at time of decision = \$0

Amounts Capitalized in Decision	Capitized Amount used in Decision	Capitized Amount using actual Attorney Fees
Delinquent Interest	\$4,286.01	\$4,286.01
Deliquent Escrow	\$1,239.41	\$1,239.41
Attorney Fees (recoverable expenses)	\$2,847.00 ①	\$0.00
Total amount to be capitalized	\$8,372.42	\$5,525.42

1. Decision with the calculation error had \$2847 in recoverable (attorney fees) included in the capitalized amount which was overstated by \$2,847 due to adding state max allowable. This resulted in a payment increase, of \$6.56 which caused the denial.
2. When re-calculate using the correct attorney fees of \$0 (0-\$2,847 state max) the payment decreases by \$6.47, meets the requirement of no application modification.

Information on modification	Pre Modification	Decision with incorrect Attorney Fees	Decision using actual attorney fees	Variance
Pre-Mod Unpaid Principal Balance	\$172,163.68	\$172,163.68	\$172,163.68	
Capitalized Amount:	-	① \$8,372.42	② \$5,525.42	(\$2,847)
Unpaid Principal Balance (add capitalized amount to UPB)	-	\$180,536.10	\$177,689.10	
Rate:	2.75%	4.625%	4.625%	
Term:	279	480	480	
Principal & Interest	\$819.63 ①	\$826.10	② \$813.16	(\$6.47)
Escrow:	\$160.68	\$160.68	\$160.68	
Principial, Interest, Taxes, Insurance	\$980.31	\$986.87	\$973.84	(\$6.47)

4

Details on the 646 Customers

- Of the 646 customers there are two types of modifications:
 - 255 Customer completed an application (engaged with WF) and were denied due to the calculation error
 - 391 customers who were eligible to received a GSE no application solicitation but didn't due to the calculation error. This a GSE program where if a customer is delinquent and meets certain criteria a modification offer is sent to them unsolicited. In these cases the customer was deemed not eligible and did not receive the offer.
- There are 5 categories in which a customer falls into: completed foreclosure, completed liquidation, paid in full, service transferred and still current active mortgage with WF

Decline Due to Calculation Error	Completed Application Reviews	No Application Modification Reviews	Total
Completed Foreclosure	113	265	378
Completed Liquidation*	46	24	70
Paid in Full	30	54	84
Service Transferred	27	24	51
Active Accounts**	39	24	63
Total Denied or Ineligible	255	391	646
* Includes Short Sales and Deeds in Lieu of Foreclosure			Data subject to final LOB and WFAS validation
** Foreclosure Holds in Place			

- % of loans by investor
 - 81% GSE (Fannie/Freddie)
 - 17% private loans (typically WF services these like owned asset, however they were eligible for Treasury HAMP program during this time)
 - 2% owned asset, customers who were eligible for the Treasury Hamp Program
- Of the 646 loans the average changes when correcting the error are:
 - \$21.75 payment change
 - 1.30% HTI change
 - \$4,325 overstatement of attorney fee

5

Remediation Recommendation

- The remediation recommendation is based off of a similar remediation in 2016, FHA-Escrow, in which:
 - The Independent Foreclosure Review used in the National Mortgage Settlement provided a relative starting point which was approved by regulators and industry standard.
 - Recognizing the housing market had changed significant since 2012, an accelerator was added to the IFR payouts
- Remediation Amounts:
 - \$15,000 for customers that ended in foreclose sale, completed a short sale or deed in lieu of foreclosure or service transferred and ended in same status. (\$6,000 in IFR)
 - \$5,000 for customers that paid in full. (\$2,000 in IFR)
 - Customers who are still active will be offered modification with terms they should have received at time of incorrect decision. If the customer accepts the modification, we will adjust their account to lower their principal balance to the same point it would have been if the modification had been approved.
- Customers credit reporting and tax implications will be reviewed and corrected.
- Customers who are no longer active will be offered a mediation option.
- \$10M accrual booked in June, 2018

Appendix

7

7

Program Requirements

Investor	Program Name	Housing to Income Ratio or Payment Reduction Requirements
Fannie / Freddie	No application modification	Requires a payment reduction
Freddie	FHLMC Mod	HTI has to be > 10% and < 55%
FNMA	FNMA New Mod	HTI has to be > 10% and < 55%
FNMA/Freddie/Bank and Private	Hamp Tier 1	HTI of 31%
FNMA/Freddie/Bank and Private	Hamp Tier 2	HTI between 25%-42%
Bank and Private	Non Hamp Bank and Private	HTI based on income level one of 31% / 34% / 38%
Bank and Private	Cap to Reinstate	HTI of 38% or less

8